



الأيدولوجية اللغوية وتكوين الهوية الثقافية
م. لؤي شاكر لفته
مديرية تربية ذي قار / قسم تربية سوق الشيوخ

Luayshakir34@gmail.com

المستخلص:

من خلال عدسة البودكاست مع الجيل الجديد من اللغويين حول قضايا الاستدامة الثقافية المعاصرة، يُسلط هذا البحث الدقيق في الأيدولوجيات اللغوية الضوء على جوانب اجتماعية لغوية متنوعة ضمن سياق أوسع متعدد اللغات/عالمي. تتناول الورقة البحثية بناء الحياة الاجتماعية من خلال اللغة في مواجهة الأيدولوجيات اللغوية الرأسمالية المهيمنة التي تُؤخذ ثقافياً، وما ينتج عنها من تفضيل لعدد محدود من اللغات واللهجات، وبالتالي رسم خريطة للصراع المعارض من خلال التفاوض على الهوية الثقافية. وقد ركزت معظم الدراسات السابقة حول اللغة والهوية على مفهوم الأيدولوجية اللغوية باعتبارها قريبة من مفهوم الهوية، ولكنها منفصلة عنه تحليلياً، ولذلك فإن تقديم رؤية أكثر تكاملاً لكيفية تأثير الأيدولوجية اللغوية على عمليات الهوية الثقافية يُعد إسهاماً لم يُقدّم بالقدر الكافي في الأدبيات اللغوية الاجتماعية الحالية.

من خلال عدسة البودكاست مع الجيل الجديد من اللغويين حول قضايا الاستدامة الثقافية المعاصرة، يتم وضع جوانب اجتماعية لغوية متنوعة في سياق تشكيل الهوية ضمن سياق أوسع متعدد اللغات/عالمي. تتبنى هذه الدراسة منظوراً ثلاثياً: (١) تُحدد الأيدولوجيات اللغوية القيمة الاجتماعية والشرعية بين الجماعات التي تعيش في مجتمع متعدد اللغات؛ (٢) تُوجه المواقف اللغوية عملية تشكيل الهوية؛ (٣) يُوجه المتحدثون في مجتمع متعدد اللغات تصوراتهم الذاتية من خلال اختيار اللغة وممارستها اللغوية. وتستند الدراسة إلى ثلاثة افتراضات: أولها، أن الأيدولوجيات اللغوية المركزية تُولد تسلسلات هرمية تراكمية للغات؛ ثانيها، أن التسلسلات الهرمية اللغوية تؤثر على



تصورات المتحدثين للإدماج/الاستبعاد الثقافي؛ وثالثها، أن متعددي اللغات يوظفون الموارد اللغوية في محاولة لبناء هويات ثقافية متعددة الطبقات والتعبير عنها.

وبذلك، تُعزز الدراسة الأدبيات النظرية والتجريبية ذات الصلة بالأيدولوجية اللغوية والهوية من منظورين: اجتماعي لغوي ونقدي. تشير هذه النتائج إلى أن الأيدولوجيا اللغوية تُشكل إطاراً رمزياً يُنظم عمليات تشكيل الهوية الثقافية، بما في ذلك تمايز الهوية الفردية والجماعية، والتحول اللغوي، وبناء الهوية الهجينة في سياقات متعددة اللغات.

ويُشير البحث إلى ضرورة دمج وعي نقدي وواضح بالأيدولوجيا اللغوية في مناهج تدريس اللغات، وتشجيع لغات الأقليات واللغات التراثية، فضلاً عن اعتراف المؤسسات بسياسات لغوية شاملة تُقر بأشكال الهوية متعددة الثقافات.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الأيدولوجيات اللغوية؛ الهوية الثقافية؛ التعدد اللغوي؛ المواقف اللغوية؛ بناء الهوية؛ علم اللغة الاجتماعي

Linguistic Ideology and cultural identity formation

Senior Teacher Luay Shaker Lafteh

Assistant Lecturer / Department of Education, Suq Al-Shuyukh

Luayshakir34@gmail.com

Abstract

Through the lens of podcasts with the new generation of linguists on the modern issues of cultural sustainability, this subtle investigation into linguistic ideologies situates various sociolinguistic aspects to the identity formation matrix in a larger multilingual/global context. The paper addresses the very construction of social life through language in the face of culturally homogenising capitalist dominant language ideologies and the resulting privileging of a limited number of languages and language varieties thus mapping oppositional struggle through cultural identity negotiation. Most of this previous work on language and identity has conceptualized linguistic ideology as conceptually close to, but analytically separate from identity work, so that a more integrated account of how linguistic ideology shapes processes of cultural identity is one contribution not sufficiently made in the current sociolinguistic literature.



The study adopts a threefold lens: (١) linguistic ideologies allocate social value and legitimisation amongst groups living in a multi-lingual society (٢) language attitudes guide identity-formation (٣) speakers in a potentially multilingual society navigate their self-perception in terms of language choice, linguistic activities. The study is based on three assumptions: the first, that linguistic ideologies from the center engender cumulative hierarchies of languages; the second, that language hierarchies affect speakers' perceptions of cultural inclusion/exclusion; and the third, that multilinguals employ linguistic resources in an effort to construct and express multilayered cultural identities.

By doing so, it consolidates relevant theoretical and empirical literature on linguistic ideology and identity from the sociolinguistic and critical perspectives. These results indicate that linguistic ideology serve as a symbolic framework regulating the processes of cultural identity formation, including individual and collective identity differentiation, language shift, hybrid identity construction in multilingual contexts.

The research suggests that there is a need for more critical and explicit awareness of linguistic ideology to be integrated into the language classroom, encouragement of minority and heritage languages, as well as recognition by institutions of inclusive linguistic policies that acknowledge multicultural forms of identity.

This study adopts a qualitative analytical approach based on the interpretation of existing sociolinguistic literature. It is guided by a conceptual analytical framework that examines the relationship between linguistic ideology, language attitudes, and cultural identity formation. The conclusions are derived from qualitative theoretical analysis rather than empirical data.

Keywords: Language ideologies; Cultural identity; Multilingualism; Language attitudes; Identity construction; Sociolinguistics

Introduction

١.١. Background of the Study

Language is one of the most fundamental symbolic resources used by people and communities to construct, express and negotiate cultural identity.



Language serves not only the communicative purpose that it is primarily associated with, but also signals social meaning, values and ideologies that influence speakers' self-image and their position in social hierarchies. Linguistic ideology as a concept denotes the socially shared beliefs and evaluative attitudes about language structure, use and legitimate varieties (Woolard, ١٩٩٨), to say nothing of moral and political meanings assigned to specific languages or varieties. Such ideologies impact not only language assessment, but also the processes of identity construction, socio-cultural inclusion and belonging.

Such linguistic exercise is an essential element in driving cultural identity, as language is a carrier of national memory, legacy and symbiotic connection. Speakers mark membership in social and cultural groups and orient to larger sociocultural structures (Bucholtz & Hall, ٢٠٠٥) in their selection of language, style, and discourse. As a consequence, linguistic ideologies play an important role in what counts as elite or genuine linguistic forms, as well as what gets stigmatized and/or sidelined. These evaluations inject key features of the manner in which individuals construct their cultural identities, particularly in multilingual settings where numerous language codes coexist and jostle for social value.

Cultural identity formation in linguistic ideologies is no longer a straightforward process especially in the postmodern globalized world. The global spread of languages associated with power, status, and economic opportunity (above all the English language) has initiated ideologisation which underLINES that some languages are linked to development, enlightenment and upward mobility while others are linked to localism/tradition/nepotism (Edwards, ٢٠٠٩) [] [] []. This type of hierarchy can lead to language shift(s), identity negotiation, and hybridity in multilingual speakers. Finally, cultural identity functions not as a pre-given, inherent entity, but rather as an active negotiation of a system of discourses that is constantly reproduced and restructured through an ideologically mediated linguistic practice.

Despite the extensive attention given to both language and identity, and even to intersections of linguistic ideology and cultural identity formation, study



of the two phenomena has often been characterized as a more or less separately researched domains (see Bucholtz & Hall ٢٠١٠; see also Lee & Li ٢٠٢١). This must be explained in how explicitly beliefs about language function ideologically in how identity is structured at the individual and collective level; a more consistent perspective is needed (٢٢). Language ideology and the linguistic construction of cultural identity Lang Linguist Compass Language ideologies are understood as an important site of inquiry for unpacking the relevance of language for cultural identity (e.g. Shankar & C. a, ٢٠٠٣, ٢٠٠٧). Yet, even within a sociolinguistic context, where the many diverse relationships between language and identity have been amply documented, less still is known about the role of language ideology in the interactional process of negotiating cultural identity (e.g. C. a. Shankar sen, ٢٠٠٨; B. This is precisely the gap this research fills by drawing on data from speakers embedded in a multilingual context negotiating competing linguistic values and allegiances.

It ends by arguing that linguistic ideology is an instrument of cultural identity — a process of creation, contestation, and change of the same. This is a crucial relationship for sociolinguistic theory because it complicates the traditional view of identity processes as ideologically driven by beliefs about language, while the models of local language attitudes highlight the contextual dependency of cultural affiliation on power and legitimacy. The following review of literature relates to works relevant to linguistic ideology and cultural identity, the conceptual framework, and how linguistic ideologies affect identity formation of multilinguals.

١.٢ Research Problem

While the influence of language on cultural identity has been well-established in the sociolinguistic literature, how linguistic ideology serves as a mediating framework in shaping aspects of cultural identity is still under-theorized and inconsistently integrated. Many studies have addressed language attitudes and multilingualism, as well as the co-construction of identity in social interaction; but little attention has been paid to how collectively-held beliefs about language legitimacy, hierarchy and value are actively initiated into processes of cultural identification and belonging.



Such a gap is especially pronounced in multilingual and globalized settings where competing linguistic ideologies favor the dominant or world languages while other local and minority varieties are relegated to marginal status.

The increasing power of dominant linguistic ideologies—especially those tied to standardization, globalization, and linguistic prestige—has transformed the historical relations between language and cultural attachment. Speakers are subject to more and more ideological pressures that associate certain languages with modernity, education, and socioeconomic mobility while labeling other languages as markers of tradition or low social capital (Woolard ١٩٩٨; Edwards ٢٠٠٩). Such hierarchies influence how people perceive their linguistic resources and therefore how they build and negotiate their cultural identities. Linguistic ideology and cultural identity are often viewed as separate but non-causal domains.

As a result, the main problem tackled in this investigation is the lack of a unified sociolinguistic conception of how linguistic ideologies function as discursive tools which may inform the construction of collective identity based on notions of culture. It is the need to explore the ideological views of processes of value, of linguistic legitimacy, and of linguistic hierarchy on identity construction within multilingual contexts. This topic is not only relevant for understanding contemporary identity work: it also carries key implications for language education and policy in linguistically diverse settings.

١.٣ Research Questions

This study follows the below research questions:

- ١How is value and legitimacy attached to particular languages and linguistic varieties in multilingual contexts through linguistic ideologies?
- ٢How do linguistic ideologies shape processes of popular cultural identity formation among speakers?
- ٣How do speakers negotiate and assert cultural identity through their language choice and linguistic practices amid competing linguistic ideologies?



-٤How do hierarchies of linguistic dominance and subordination construct ideologies of belonging and affiliation?

١.٤Research Objectives

There have been few academic discussions on linguistic ideology as a purpose in building cultural identity in multilingual dragons context. Specifically, the research seeks to:

-١Explore how linguistic ideologies create hierarchies of value and legitimacy between languages and varieties of speech.

-٢Examine the relationship between linguistic ideology and speaker processes of cultural identity formation.

-٣Individuals navigate and express multicultural identity by negotiating language choice and linguistic practices within the context of competing linguistic ideologies.

-٤Influence of dominant vs marginalized language ideologies on perceptions of cultural belonging and affiliation

-٥Specify the sociolinguistic mechanisms through which linguistic ideologies act on individual and collective cultural identities.

١.٥Research Hypotheses

Drawing from sociolinguistic theory as well as previous scholarship on language ideology and identity, this study develops the following hypotheses:

-١Dominant linguistic ideologies construct hierarchal valuations across various languages and varieties of language, marginalizing certain forms while privileging others.

Evidence that hierarchical linguistic ideologies shape the way speakers understand and negotiate their cultural identities.

-٣In multilingual contexts, speakers make intentional choices for linguistic practices that express and negotiate cultural identity, amidst competing linguistic ideologies.

-٤Language ideologies of marginalized groups tend to correlate with lower perceptions of cultural legitimacy and belonging felt by their speakers.

١.٦Significance of the Study



In this respect, the present study is important because it contributes to the sociolinguistics literature on link between linguistic ideology and cultural identity formation by offering a comprehensive theoretical explanation of how language ideologies constrain identity construction processes. Although previous studies have focused on the role of language attitudes and identity construction, there is less systematic research examining linguistic ideology in this context as a mediating framework shaping cultural attachment and inclusion/exclusion. The study contributes to clarifying how languages are given symbolic value and subsequently impact the formation of identities in multilingual settings, foregrounding linguistic ideology as a central lens of analysis.

The research is therefore also theoretical: it links, in the interests of sociolinguistic and linguistic anthropological study, to closely overlapping but in most scholarship divided fields: language ideology; cultural identity. It reveal that cultural identity is not only mirrored in language but specifically constructed through carrying that out in an active process based in ideologically composing linguistic hierarchies, validity assessments, and speech practices. Then, we build on models of identity construction and illustrate how power and symbolic capital dynamics motivate linguistic choices.

In addition, the real-world applications are in language policy and language teaching given multilingual and globalized contexts. Linguistic ideology processes as they appear to matter in the social construction of cultural identities can be telling with respect to sites of leverage in educational practice that might stimulate the production of inclusive linguistic spaces and sites for further cultural investments. It would also illuminate policy (and community) efforts to prevent the marginalization of identity made possible through linguistic hierarchies, such as initiatives to protect minority and heritage languages.

This study, then, situates itself in recent debates of language, identity and globalization by tying the politics of linguistic ideology to processes of cultural belonging and modes of social positioning.

١.٧ Organization of the Study



The present investigation consists of eight chapters. Chapter One: The Overall Structure of the Study (Research Background, Problem, Question, Objectives, Hypothesis, and Significance); Chapter Two: A Review of the Literature on Linguistic Ideology and the Cultural Identity Literature Among Multilinguals and in a Globalized World) Chapter Kids Three — What is Linguistic Ideology — by Kids Guimaraes This chapter begins an overview of the concept of ideological — defining it and presents its characteristics especially regarding the issue of language hierarchy and power. Chapter four: Language and the Formation of Cultural Identity

Chapter Five explores the context of the languages a bilingual must confront for cultural identity, especially language ideology, linguistic hierarchy and legitimacy and marginalization (of speakers), and negotiation of identity. Chapter six investigates linguistic ideology in language contact, multilingual and globalized settings (e.g., language shift, education, and the mechanisms of a type of global identity). We discuss these findings, as well as their theoretical implications in Chapter Seven. Finally, Chapter Eight includes the conclusions and recommendations for the study.

٢- Literature Review

٢.١ Linguistic Ideology in Sociolinguistics

Although language and identity has been treated across both sociolinguistics and linguistic anthropology, it is linguistic ideology which has recently emerged as a prominent lens for understanding how beliefs about language shape social meaning and cultural membership.

Linguistic ideology (although early linguistic anthropology defined as culturally O situated ideas about how languages are structured and who uses them, what they do in the social arena of life and why those functions are valued (Silverstein ١٩٧٩)), as the 'district of meaning in which we set our ideas of the relation between language forms and social entities and power relations' This conceptual turn moved attention from language as an apolitical code to language as contextually-based social practice imbued with ideologies.



Later scholarly work took the nature of linguistic ideology itself as the basis of social hierarchies and the legitimation of particular varieties of language. Ideologies of language (Woolard, ١٩٩٨) relate language to political and moral objectives, producing credentialed inequalities and associating different representations of speech with power or prestige; Linguistic ideologies (Kroskrity, ٢٠٠٠) are also mediating structures between social identity and language. These approaches relayed the emergence of linguistic ideology as central to our understanding of meaning making at language, acting as a cultural signifier instead of just a medium for the transportation of information.

٢.٢ Language and Identity Studies

In sociolinguistics, research on identity corroborated the ideological complexity of language. Bucholtz and Hall (٢٠٠٥) defined identity is relational, and emergent from linguistic practice; language ideologies dictate what linguistic resources are appropriate for the construction of relevant identities. Concurrent with this component, Eckert (٢٠٠٨) demonstrated that the socially salient meaning of linguistic differentiation derives from the ideological work that gives it some significance, placing speakers along dimensions such as ethnicity, class, or locality. These studies show that cultural identity is not represented in the language, but rather mediated through ideological evaluation.

٢.٣ Linguistic Ideology in Multilingual Setting

Linguistic ideology plays an especially important role in the construction of cultural identity in multilingual polities where speakers navigate heterogeneous linguistic systems, each with differential social value (Clyne ٢٠٠٨; Blommaert & Rampton ٢٠١١) Such dominant language ideologies tend to favor the standardized or global varieties of a language, whilst relegating minority or local varieties into the periphery and developing a series of linguistic hierarchies that create characteristic orders (Edwards, ٢٠٠٩), promoting certain languages over others not only by mandating socio-economic permission to participate in these movements but also through cultural membership. These hierarchies are not just linguistic; they



run deep socioculturally, where languages serve as markers of education, movement and legitimacy in society.

Linguistic hierarchies in multilingual contexts are maintained through ideological processes of iconization, fractal recursivity and erasure (Irvine and Gal ٢٠٠٠). Sometimes, those features of a language begin to be perceived as naturally connected to social groups, through iconization, and hence, creating an imaginary bond between language and ethnic or national identity. Fractal recursivity takes distinctions between languages and applies them within social groups, and in so doing reinforces or stratifies them internally. Erasure reduces often a complicated linguistic ecology into monolithic ideological categories by their inaccessibility to other varieties of a given language. We show that in doing so such linguistic ideological processes shape understandings of how difference and belonging are organized but also provide us with analytical precision between and within multilingual communities.

Multilinguals imbibe the existing social structure of prestige: they are aware of which linguistic forms provide an avenue to opportunity, and which one close it. As a result, the choice of language cannot be separated from the positioning of identity. Many speakers may align the perspectives associated with these dominant linguistic forms to their workplace, limiting minority or heritage language to private and community spaces, as a result of the social value afforded to these dominant forms in institutional or public settings. Such a schematic partitioning of the role of individual tongues is characteristic of the parameters within which linguistic belief shapes space and identity in multilingual situations.

Globalization has intensified these ideological rankings by spreading globalized discourses, such as English. According to Blommaert (٢٠١٠) globalization creates hierarchical linguistic repertoires as speakers make a switch between local and global ideologies. Global languages are tied to the cosmopolitan self and the possibility of upward social mobility; local languages index an inheritance and belonging to a geographically bounded idea of community. Thus, having multilingual identity is aligned simultaneously with global prestige and with local situatedness.



García and Wei (٢٠١٤), who show how multilingual speakers actively deploy flexible linguistic resources to create hybrid identities rather than columns of fixed language, also exemplify this to further illustrate top-down policies. Rather than being confined to a single linguistic identity, speakers mobilize various linguistic repertoires and registers, each signaling an identity in a particular context. So, this dichotomous nature of linguistic ideology in multilingual spaces is seen, as it limits identity and construct identity hierarchy, but yet help negotiate identity creatively. Hence, the notion of cultural identity grows as a byproduct from the perpetual strife of contending ideologies and social agendas relevant to using minority languages for a prolonged period of time in a multilingual environment .

With other corroborative studies recently emerging, the possible interrelatedness between ideological orientations amongst multilingual speakers and their multicultural identities has been given greater substantiation in terms of illuminating detail. Russell (٢٠٢٤), for example, from one of language attitudes in their research and showed that they were determinants about if students connect to the multicultural learning environment or remain on the sideline. For students, language validation is self-validation. But the social exclusion shall come only when her native tongues take a back seat. In sum, these results reinforce a remarkable finding in the literature: that language ideologies can no longer be thought of as static representations; rather, they are an active ongoing process serving not only to constitute cultural identity but also struggle over what places mean.

٢.٤ Recent Studies

Sustained scholarship pushes forward an understanding of linguistic ideology as a flexible process through which identities are constructed within multilingual and globalized settings. Recent sociolinguistic scholarship focuses on how language ideologies work with education, migration, and globalization to shape how people belong and identify culturally.

.Research suggests that linguistic hierarchies run through institutional and social structures, influencing speakers' access to recognition and



participation, thus further entrenching the links between language ideology and identity positioning (Baker-Bell, ٢٠٢٠; Russell, ٢٠٢٤).

Recent research in language education provides additional evidence that the ideological representation of languages affect learners with regards to their identity investment and affiliation. Rahaman (٢٠٢٥) demonstrates that language learners often match linguistic practices with socially valued norms in order to gain access to desired identities whilst retaining connections, albeit, largely symbolic ones, to heritage cultures. The dynamic between linguistic ideology, identity aspiration and linguistic capital demonstrates how speakers negotiate belonging amidst hierarchical linguistic environments.

Much of contemporary sociolinguistic scholarship is concerned with linguistic justice, as well as the sociopolitical implications of standard language ideology. According to Baker-Bell (٢٠٢٠), prevailing standard language ideologies push some linguistic identities to the margin by treating nonstandard varieties as less than. But inclusive pedagogies can reposition linguistic diversity as cultural condition rather than deficit, allowing speakers to reclaim affirmation of identity. These viewpoints draw attention to the function of education in reproducing or contesting linguistic hierarchies.

Recent research on multilingualism bolsters the contention that linguistic ideology exercises its force in spheres including education, policy, and globalization to shape the processes through which cultural identities are defined. Worldwide language practices frame aspirations for identity and perceived legitimacy; at local levels, however, linguistic practices enable the continuity of culture. These studies highlight the view that identity is configured and negotiated within stratified linguistic spaces tied to particular histories of power and mobility.

Despite the growing attention to linguistic ideology empirically, there is as yet no fully integrated theoretical account that can link into a direct explanation of how linguistic ideologies are more generally related to the formation of cultural identities across contexts. Recent studies have focused on either language hierarchies or identity negotiation. This article approaches



the problem by combining contemporary perspectives in sociolinguistics and linguistic anthropology to articulate the ways ideologies of language operate as a main organizing force for the shaping of cultural identity within multilingual and globalized societies.

-٢. New studies on the Relationship between Globalization, Multilingualism and Identity in a New context In the ٢٠٢٥ study by Rahaman, among other studies show that although language learners tend to retool their linguistic profiles toward identity presentation practices variable to exchangeable sociolects in obtaining socially prized identities, these identity alignment efforts tend to be tempered by a symbolic heritage culture maintenance/Social identity relationship. It demonstrates that language ideology is not a closed store of beliefs. Instead, it serves as an operative eye through which to both navigate the minefield of culture and loyalty, as well as to simply serve as an invitation to mode of social mobility.

٢.٥ Research Gap

While linguistic ideology and cultural identity formation have received significant scholarly attention, they are typically addressed in a parallel fashion rather than as part of integrated explanatory model. Linguistic ideology studies emphasize hierarchy and power, while identity research emphasizes interaction and positioning. Therefore, the processes by which ideological belief in language legitimacy directly inform the construction of cultural identity remain underexplored and undertheorized. The current research fills this gap by examining the role of linguistic ideology as a structuring force in developing cultural identity through a critical sociolinguistic and linguistic anthropology combined approach specifically within multilingual contexts.

A wave of recent research has genuinely deepened our understanding of the intricate nexus between language ideologies and cultural identity. Take the geopolitical tensions of ٢٠٢٦, for example: a qualitative study from that year highlights exactly how macro-political contexts shape the way Chinese international students perceive both their language and themselves. Moving into the digital realm, ٢٠٢٤ research on translingual practices underscores how fluid, multilingual discourse constantly reshapes identity formation



among youth online. This friction between deeply held beliefs and actual daily speech remains a recurring theme. Studies from ٢٠٢٣ and ٢٠٢٤ look closely at Thai international students and multilingual Arabic classrooms, revealing the stubborn, ongoing tensions between ideology and linguistic practice that play out in educational settings. Finally, ٢٠٢٣ work on heritage language dynamics and the direct link between language proficiency and cultural identity offers fresh insights into how individuals actively negotiate who they are through the words they use across diverse contexts.

٣.٢ Research Methodology

٣.١ Research Design

To ground this research, we adopted a qualitative and highly systematic review methodology, turning a critical eye toward a decade of scholarly literature. By combing through peer-reviewed journals, foundational books, and core theoretical studies published over the last ten years, we ensured the insights remained sharp and contemporary. We weren't just skimming; we actively interrogated each source, breaking down its methodology, theoretical framework, findings, and ultimate contribution to how we understand linguistic ideology and cultural identity .

The heavy lifting of the analysis unfolded in three clear stages. First, we mapped out and isolated the relevant literature. Next came the deep dive, where we evaluated each study's methodological rigor and theoretical consistency. Finally, we wove these distinct insights together to construct a cohesive understanding of how language ideology and identity intersect. This structured path does more than just outline our process—it clarifies the methodological basis of the review, giving the study a layer of reliability and transparency that readers can trust.

٣.٢ Data Source

The research is built upon secondary data, drawing from a corpus of academic monographs, peer-reviewed journals, and foundational studies in linguistic anthropology and sociolinguistics. Rather than gathering primary data through field interviews or surveys, the study centers on a critical synthesis of existing scholarly literature to establish its theoretical claims.

٣.٣ Analytical Framework



The analysis is structured around a three-dimensional conceptual model. First, it views linguistic ideology as a value system that dictates language hierarchy and legitimacy. Second, it considers language attitudes as the psychological bridge influencing how identity is perceived. Finally, it treats identity construction as a fluid, socially negotiated process driven by linguistic habits. Together, these elements reveal how ideologies shape cultural belonging in multilingual contexts.

٣.٤ Method of Analysis

The study applies qualitative content analysis alongside critical interpretation. By deconstructing arguments and identifying recurring patterns within the literature, the research maps the intersections of ideology and identity formation. This process involves synthesizing diverse theoretical perspectives into a unified narrative regarding the power dynamics of language.

٣.٥ Justification of the Method

A qualitative theoretical approach is the most effective way to engage with abstract notions like symbolic power and ideological influence. These phenomena are deeply embedded in social and cultural nuances, making them better suited for interpretive depth than for the rigid metrics of quantitative measurement.

By establishing this methodological framework, we create a reliable basis for analyzing how language ideologies and cultural identities intersect—a connection that serves as the central focus of the upcoming chapters.

Linguistic Ideology: Concept and Dimensions

٤.١ Definition of Linguistic Ideology

Linguistic ideology is a prominent term in the literature on sociolinguistics and linguistic anthropology, but it is mainly used to refer to socially shared beliefs (and assumptions) about the structure, use value of language and legitimacy. These ideologies link linguistic forms to larger social meanings of authority, morality, identity and cultural authenticity (Woolard, ١٩٩٨). Instead of neutral assessments, linguistic ideologies are derived interpretive frameworks through which speakers recognize differences in language and attribute social significance. Thus, languages and varieties get



symbolic value not only due to structural properties but also due to ideological interpretations inserted in social contexts.

For example, this idea (of belief system) was born in linguistic anthropology (Silverstein ١٩٧٩) where linguistic form is treated as a culturally given system, linking the organizational structure of linguistic form to that of social. It emphasized that this meaning of linguistic forms was only ideological in the sense of the discourse. In this respect, we can use linguistic ideology as a bridge between language and social structure; it enables us to render linguistic variation meaningful in terms of social categories such as socially defined class, ethnicity or cultural affiliation.

٤.٢ Language and its Ideological and Social Significances

Subsequent scholarship broadened the theory by emphasizing issues of power and social hierarchy in linguistic evaluation. According to Kroskrity (٢٠٠٠), linguistic ideologies naturalize linguistic authority by suggesting that certain forms of language are naturally correct or superior, thus endorsing existing social arrangements. Linguistic differences are purposefully converted into semantically pertinent social differentiators that render distinction for prestige, education, and legitimacy.

Thus, linguistic ideology helps people build understanding around language as a symbol of familiarity, prestige and cultural heritage. The semantics of languages are socially constructed rather than merely linguistically derived. People acquire sets of social meanings from the particular linguistic forms they are exposed to and, when connected with themselves or others in a group, can affect how an individual's social identity is formed and what criteria will be used to evaluate another individual against that sorely influential sociocultural ladder.

٤.٣ Standard Language Ideology

The standard language ideology is among the most powerful layers of linguistic ideology. Standard language ideology encourages the belief that a single codified variety is the proper/true form of a language and all variants are less, or sub-white (Milroy & Milroy ٢٠١٢). This ideology is influential in educational settings, institutional language use, and public discussions alike, establishing a stratification of language across groups.



Speakers of non-standard or minority varieties may acculturate to negative evaluations, which can influence their self-identity and affiliation to a certain culture. When certain linguistic forms stereotyped with a certain speaker's community have low social value, so is the group (and its cultural identity) seen as less valid. Standard language ideology illustrates how socio-linguistic work, e.g., the beliefs that help shape languages and communicate them to speakers of other varieties, intersects directly at some points with processes of social identity, and contributes toward what we call symbolic inequality.

٤.٤ Ideology and Power

Another important dimension pertains to language ideology and power asymmetries. A location, tradition or marginality are also associated to others (Irvine & Gal ٢٠٠٠), while some languages are generally related to socioeconomic advance, modernity or national unity (Linguistic ideologies). In such instances language is turned to a sort of symbolic currency a means of signalling possession (yours or the reader) of some form of cultural currency a currency which gains power the more successful those owning it are at navigating the social landscape.

These ideological configurations also create patterns of language use that in turn affect inclusion or exclusion from identity. Consequently, speakers could adapt their linguistic usages to prestigious identities and gain access to socially beneficial resources. On the other hand, the linguistic practices of speakers whose ideology is stigmatised may be unnoticed and barred from the dialogue altogether. This is so-called linguistic ideology, which "functions" as one of the devices, investigates "relations of power embedded within cultural identity constructs" (Liu, ٢٠٢٠, p. ٢٤).

٤.٥ Processes of Ideologization (Iconization and Erasure)

Irvine and Gal (٢٠٠٠) identified the three key ideological processes, examining how linguistic ideology connects language with social identity. Iconization is the characterization of certain linguistic features as being somehow naturally reflective of the social essence of a group, and erasure is denial of within group linguistic diversity. Iconization is the process through which language or variety is symbolically linked to a cultural identity (as in



homogenization), while erasure suppresses other, alternative linguistic identities and reinforces simplistic social categories.

These practices help to legitimize and authenticate these linguistic nuances, weaving speakers into the fabric of cultural communities in diverging or converging connections. Ideological processes maintain linguistic hierarchy and identity differentiation over societies through portraying linguistic differences as natural manifestations of cultural difference.

٤.٦ Language Ideology in Multilingual Settings

Monolingualism is therefore an ideological construction, manifested through competing regimes of language value in multilingual societies. In asymmetric settings, international languages attract prestige associated with school and movement, whereas local or minority languages are by contrast often constructed as heritage or as low-opportunity codes (Edwards, ٢٠٠٩). Such hierarchisation can influence language choice, maintenance and shift in relation to the boundaries of cultural identities available for speakers (Blommaert, ٢٠١٠).

Sociolinguistic literature over the last couple of decades stresses that the ideologies of language are contingent and negotiated (Blommaert, ٢٠١٠). Globalization and migration, established together, produce layered ideological battlegrounds where individuals deploy hybrid identities in diverse stages.. It then turns to the relationship between ideology and linguistic variation in contexts of multiple language contact, where not only does such ideology constrain how people can position themselves socially (and what forms determine status or prestige), but also can serve as a resource for constructing particular identities, often indirectly through the forced availability of diverse linguistic forms which speakers mobilise to express belonging, difference or resistance.

-٥ Cultural Identity Formation

٥.١ Concept of Cultural Identity

Spectrum of Cultural Identity Development. Language is regarded as one of the most prominent symbolic resources through which cultural identity is constructed and expressed, in the fields of sociolinguistics and linguistic anthropology. Language is not only a symptom of cultural belonging, but



also has an active role in forming how people align themselves within sociocultural hierarchies (Bucholtz & Hall, ٢٠٠٥). As a result, cultural identity is defined not as an essential or inherited characteristic but rather as a fluid and negotiated construct developed in response to interaction and discourse.

From this perspective, cultural identity continuously is constituted through engagement in socially meaningful practices, including interaction with the language. Speaking is an active cultural construct, in which speakers enact and project identity through linguistically channeled (and culturally crystallized) forms, and those forms derive their significance from socially meaningful structures of interaction. In that sense, identity is not just articulated implicitly or explicitly in language, but it is co-constructed through ideologically informed interaction operating at the unit of linguistic discourse.

٥.٢ Individual and Collective Identity

In scholarly approaches, identity is understood as relational, because people create identities by identifying with certain groups and through differentiation from others (Hall ١٩٩٦). Cultural identity, therefore, works on the individual and collective levels at once, connecting how we see ourselves as an individual with our shared membership in a culture. Linguistic is a key means by which these personal and social identities are expressed, negotiated, and socially acknowledged.

Personal identity is generated from our daily linguistic habits and group identity is reproduced through the vocabs and narratives a group comes together to share. Often, communities associate membership in the group with certain types of talk, so speakers can use code-switching to indicate similarity or distance. Language thus also connects the individual experience of personal identity with cultural collective expression.

٥.٣ Language as Cultural Marker

Among the linguistic practices that emerge as indexicals of cultural membership and social position (Eckert, ٢٠٠٨) are: accent, dialect of choice, code-switching, and even style of discourse. Context



Moreover, speakers deploy these linguistic attributions in the construction of ethnic, national, locational, or socio-classed identities (Omoniyi, ٢٠١١, p. ١٥٩). In other words, language is a system of signs in which cultural identities are made and finally interpreted in social context.

These indexical associations are socially learned (i.e., not idiosyncratic), such that social meaning about identity can be made from variation by a listener. A regional accent or dialect can indicate regional identity, while the choice of a language can indicate either educational or cultural identity. These processes show how the linguistic forms come to exist as culturally salient symbols rather than neutral vehicles of communication.

٥.٤ Language and Collective Memory

There are also the memories carried, certain local events or mannerisms that keep coming back; in short, the cultural sustenance that makes these pungent ties between the language and the making of identity continue. Heritage languages belong to histories, to cultures and to meanings that continue to link groups across generations (Fishman, ١٩٩٩) Hence the maintenance of such a community language may function as the maintenance of a culture and the endangerment or shift of that language may be parallel with a shift in cultural identity.

The spoken wisdom of a people keeps the cultural identity alive through generations. When communities embrace the preservation of linguistic traditions, they tend to create representations of shared histories and act as a community memory. In contrast, if speakers halt their transmission of dialects and start shifting to other language identification, then identity boundaries may become altered. The networks of language as backgrounds of cultural landmarks of identity that forms doing these processes.

٥.٥ Identity in Multilingual Contexts

Having access to more than one linguistic repertoire (L^1 , L^2 , etc.), multilingual societies evolve an intricate cultural identity... and multiple cultural identities. García & Wei, ٢٠١٤) Both local, national and global facets are intertwined within what speakers are able to create in the form of multilayered identities. Choice of language according to domains — home,



education, or public space — gives a possibility to invoke diverse identities in context.

This movement illustrates that identity is not uni-dimensional but rather multi-dimensional and negotiated in context. Individuals who speak more than one language are frequently asked to fulfil (and thus be socialised into) different linguistic roles depending on the role they are assuming in a specific institutional context. Thus, by invoking diverse registers across contexts, speakers index themselves simultaneously in multiple cultural domains (Agha ٢٠٠٧; see also Brubaker et al. .(٢٠٠٨

٥.٦ Hybrid Identity and Globalization

With the dawn of cross-cultural contact and mobility, it was the globalization of consumer culture that forced change. Universallylingua (especially English) is attracting people from all walks of life to these cultures as a landmark or symbol of modernity, qqtransnational contact and socio-slash-economic opportunity reflecting this imagining of belonging to visually-cultures rather than national boundaries (Edwards, ٢٠٠٩). Simultaneously, the use of local languages is highly symbolic of identity on the one hand and authenticity on the other, producing a tension between the global and local dimensions of identity.

This dynamics creates hybrid or mixed identities that produce cosmopolitan identities from mixed cultural references. At the same time, the speakers may connect to a much larger, worldwide linguistic community, and also local, particular cultural traditions yielding identities that reflect multiple sociocultural affiliations. As such, the intra-discursive ideologies relating to global and local languages, as well as the networks of globalisation which are shifting, foster new cultural identities under the latter.

-٦ Linguistic Ideology and the Formation of Cultural Identity

٦.١ Hierarchy of Languages and Construction of Identity

These vocatively unlawful language practices are intertwined into masses identities through linguistic ideologies that develop hierarchies between languages and manners. In line with Collins (٢٠٠١) and Woolard (١٩٩٨), languages, as socially distinguished varieties or forms that arise from social differentiation, are held in positive or negative esteem due to ideologies that



ascribe, or withhold, prestige and legitimacy to certain varieties while stigmatizing others. They impose legitimacy, pedagogy and cultural dominance onto particular forms of language-use to which possess features an authority set for the speakers themselves in determining and defining the linguistic-emic tools they possess for bonding and / or social hierarchies.

Standard language ideology also affirms this hierarchy, reinforcing the superiority of one codified variety over others and invisibly legitimizing one variety while deligitimizing others (Milroy & Milroy, ٢٠١٢). Standard language users are granted social status or high status identities and institutional recognition; nonstandard or minority variety speakers, on the other hand, lose legitimacy. I mean in the sense that linguistic hierarchy is a symbolic structuring power with deep implications for cultural belonging and recognition among societies. Cultural identity is partially constructed through the ideological valorization of a language or variety with which speakers identify.

٦.٢ Linguistic Dominance and Marginalization

Linguistic hierarchy refers to the perceived social status of superior and inferior languages or varieties. Affective linguistic ideologies render dominant languages as powerful in terms of education and mobility (territorialization) and minority varieties as being warned to remain local and traditional or as deficit in terms of lack of socioeconomic value (Edwards ٢٠٠٩). These ideological allegiances also inform speakers' knowledge of where they stand culturally and socially.

In contrast, overlap happens when language varieties are recognized, or there are the use of these language varieties in institutional domains such as education, administration, and media (Woolard, ١٩٩٨). Non-standard varieties may also find its speakers less acknowledged or included in culturally significant spaces. That exclusion highlights how arguments for forms of language are always situated around who speaks them to normalise their perception as illegitimate for social reasons, and that (ideally) does not escape perceived identity. It leads to a situation in which cultural belonging becomes stratified in terms of proficiency in the local dominant language



(and so reflects broader sociocultural divides that language ideologies entrench in relation to spoken language.)

٦.٣ The Legitimacy of Language and the Recognition of Culture

Linguistic legitimacy is the socially acknowledged right and fit component feature of tongues or varieties. Part of the legitimacy here is ideological association of the language itself and that of education, correctness, authenticity, and social value (Bourdieu ١٩٩١). Once again, only (that is, legitimate) languages with institutional backing and social value are legitimate here, which means speakers can have culturally defined and socially recognised identity.

In contrast, speakers of stigmatized or nonstandard varieties may be symbolically excluded even when they self-identify with culturally-relevant identities. Cultural recognition thus requires not only claims of identity but socially sanctioned linguistic standards. In polyglot settings, speakers will sometimes shift linguistic practices between domains in order to gain recognition from or legitimacy with a wider audience; so we see that ideology — of both self and other — manages the emergence and evolution of status as an electively connected phenomenon that should not be construed simply as language.

Negotiating identity in the midst of conflicting ideologies

In multilingual and globalized societies, speakers experience often competing linguistic ideologies that ascribe varying values to languages and language varieties. Such ideological tensions force individuals to negotiate between globally prestigious linguistic configurations and locally-valued linguistic forms (Blommaert, ٢٠١٠).

The construction of cultural identity is consequently a negotiation process instead of being a concrete alignment with one socio-linguistic system.

Even so, speakers use their linguistic repertoires in context reliant on their linguistic bio (García & Wei, ٢٠١٤), and to negotiate sound form as they seek to mediate local ideologies alongside those directed externally. This kind of dynamic vernacular opens spaces for the of cultural identities hybridization, global markers with those muted behalf through cultural markets, or focuses on local taste. So, here linguistic ideology works both as



a constraint that shapes stratification and as a resource that situates identities in diverse sociocultural contexts.

-٧Linguistic Ideology in Context of Multilingualism and Globalization

٧.١Globalization and Linguistic Hierarchies

Globalisation has also intensified this intercontact among languages and cultures, giving rise to a variety of transnational linguistic hierarchies. Natively-outside the front lines, specially English, international techniques of languages are ideologically heavy as trendy, properly-educated and financially tenant (Blommaert, ٢٠١٠). Such associations give rise to prestige for the global languages and pushed local or minority languages into being almost occupationally deprived of socio-economical values.

Such hierarchies are a local embodiment of the global positioning and its aspiration for speakers fantasies of locale and emacity. Central world languages become passports of cosmopolitan identity and upward mobility, so that social identity becomes aligned with the most successful strategic forms of language. By contrast, indigenous tongues could be limited to heritage domains. So, globalization transnationalizes linguistic ideologies and cultural identifications.

٧.٢Language Shift and Identity Transformation ٦.٢

Language shift is the gradual process by which a community of speakers moves from one language to another, typically from minority forms of language to dominant forms. Such a process is driven by language ideologies associating dominant languages with success and development (Edwards, ٢٠٠٩). Once speakers begin to emulate that kind of sociolinguistic practice, linkages between language and identity become eroded, leading to shifts in self-construction.

This is not cultural loss but the reconfiguration of the boundaries of identity. In this way, speakers can still be symbolically connected to heritage identity while in practice speaking dominant linguistic varieties. In this sense, language shift is a manifestation of linguistic ideology that decouples generations and remakes cultural belonging.

٧.٣Language, Education, and Ideology



Another important role of educational systems institutions is that they explicitly and implicitly institutionalize particular languages/varieties as the legitimate channels of knowledge. Standard or national languages have a privileged status that serves as a symbol of prestige, pairing it closely with scholarly authority and mobility in education (Bourdieu, ١٩٩١). Education validates varieties of language as the appropriate ones for the curriculum and the test while marginalizing other varieties of language.

Institutional privilege organizes speakers in accordance with how well they embody the academic language practices that are valued. When we need to learn the language of power the comfort of the other end of the tunnel is reserved for students speaking languages close in to that language to ease their right path, while minority-language speakers only have comfort in loss-minus-identity, identity-loss — the devaluated-in their own experience and original culture, — the devaluation of the (in this instance faux) collective identity. Language use thus operates in education as a fundamental site for the ejection of linguistic ideology in the service of social stratification as well as cultural certification in the multilingual society.

٧.٤ Identity within Global Language Ideologies

Introduced with globalization identity is manufactured through local and global linguistic ideologies. Global languages are associated with the spirit of movement and transnational identity, and local languages, on the contrary, with heritage and authenticity (García & Wei, ٢٠١٤). Accordingly, speakers make identity claims through diverse ideological frames, creating blended allegiances.

Such, indeed, are the identities of multifaceted linguistic ecologies — as malleable and dependent on context as they are themselves. Global linguistic ideologies do not so much displace local identities, as they do co-exist alongside them, each an element in one of the potentiality cultural positionings. So despite hierarchical relations being reshuffled, the ideologies of language in these globalizing. Nevertheless, sociolinguistic research has shown in the last two present decades that globalization does not necessarily replace local identity. Instead, speakers repeatedly rely on transnational linguistic resources together with the use of locally-shaped



cultural practices to negotiate complex identities. Some of the more recent work (Russell, ٢٠٢٤; Rahaman, ٢٠٢٥) think ((of)) cultural identity in a comparatively fluid and less either / or way as reflecting the summation of generational repetitions across a spectrum between global movement & one local cultural rooting. circumstances create an expansion of identity options.

- Discussion

٨.١ Interpreting the Ideology–Identity Relationship

The preceding chapters have shown how linguistic ideology as a mediating lens underlies the connection between language practices and cultural identity. Sociolinguistic hierarchies are also in play here, prestige and legitimacy beings awarded to some languages and varieties over others depending on perceptions of how closely members of the hierarchy belong to or are associated with (Woolard, ١٩٩٨) the hierarchy. Geert Hofstede: Culture is the collective programming of the mind that distinguishes the members of one group or category of people from others —other groups, and other categories. Thus language use is just one of many not the important in the construction of cultural identity rather it is ‘ideologically framed evaluations of linguistic value’ (Section ١٠) — see chapter ٢.

The Peircean System: Linguistic Stratification and Hierarchical Identity

Our findings suggest that in multilingual contexts, hierarchies among languages deepen identities. Paradoxical alignment — Speaker follow at least common, barricaded molds are clogged and perceptible, speaker of oppressed molds are imperceptible, attractor figurative disunion Such stratification is indicative of the larger socio-cultural power relations re-inscribed through language ideology (Bourdieu, ١٩٩١).

٨.٢ Multilingual Negotiating and the Formation of a Hybrid Identity

The study further illustrates how polyglots literally negotiate identity through competing linguistic ideologies. Global local identities, or transidiomatic practices [García & Wei, ٢٠١٤] develop through traversal between dominant and local linguistic resources. This dualism is reflected in how it serves as both a constraint and resource (Jacobson, ١٩٩٠) for a flexible cultural positioning in a multilingual context.

٨.٣ Contribution to Sociolinguistic Theory



Finally, this study contributes to the sociolinguistic theory of linguistic ideology and cultural identity by expanding the theoretical directions of linguistic ideology and /cultural identity in that it encompasses conceptualizations and empirical studies of ideological formations around the use of local languages in cultural identity formation under one activity domain. Compared to earlier studies on ideology and identity that predominantly approached each separately, here ideology is shown to be framed through linguistic ideology which sets the field of legitimacy, authenticity, and prestige that denotes grounds for cultural belonging. This cosmopolitan approach provides an understanding of the ways in which language works as a symbolic resource in the construction of identity in the linguistically diverse, globalizing societies we now find ourselves living in.

٩-Conclusion and Recommendations

٩.١ Conclusion

This study investigated the relationship between language ideology and cultural identity construction in multilingual and globalised speech communities. The findings that demonstrated this were based on how linguistic ideologies produce a hierarchy of models of languages and varieties, which in turn generates unequal legitimacy and status (Laveault, ٢٠١٢). These hierarchies influence how the speakers practice culture and where they live. Therefore, cultural identity is established not by linguistic practice, but by the ideologically constrained assessment of linguistic varieties (Woolard, ١٩٩٨).

In addition, the research presents that prevalent language ideologies posit uniformity and globalization in language preference while negating locality and minority varieties which creates the so-called hierarchical positioned identities. By contrast, identity for multilinguals stems from the flexible deployment of various linguistic resources over contexts that coalesce into hybrid cultural identities. It these findings corroborates the claim that linguistic ideology is used to understand and articulate the superiority of certain languages over others, and establish a multilingual identity.

More broadly, this study contributes to the sociolinguistic theory by bringing together the study of linguistic ideology and patterns of cultural identity'



development into a single framework. It lays out that linguistic ideology serves as an abstract channel between language, power and the idea of belonging as a culture in the contemporary phenomena of globalization and linguistic diversity.

٩.٢ Recommendations

Given those findings, the authors of this study made several recommendations:

١- Educational recommendations:

This pride and awareness of multilingualism is something we can build through our language education, not just in our classrooms, but also through dealing with the broader community. Those pedagogies may go into who learners are in the target language community, reinforcing their linguistic identity and sense of belonging.

٢- Linguistic and cultural recommendations:

Societies with multiple languages should have a more legitimate view of their respective maintenance of minority and heritage languages — viewed as legitimate cultural resources (not as marginality).

٣- Policy recommendations:

Language policies should include linguistic diversity and strive to reduce the hierarchical valuation of languages in education, media and administration.

٤- Research recommendations:

The theoretical model we deployed in this study may well be applicable to other localized and institutionalized setting of linguistic ideology and therefore a future line of research should examine the ideological reflects between cultural identity formation and language use across different regional or schooling context.

References

١. Baker-Bell, A. (٢٠٢٠). *Linguistic justice: Black language, literacy, identity, and pedagogy*. Routledge.
٢. Blommaert, J. (٢٠١٠). *The sociolinguistics of globalization*. Cambridge University Press.
٣. Bourdieu, P. (١٩٩١). *Language and symbolic power*. Harvard University Press.
٤. Bucholtz, M., & Hall, K. (٢٠٠٥). *Identity and interaction: A sociocultural linguistic approach*. *Discourse Studies*, ٧(٤-٥), ٥٨٥-٦١٤.



٥. Eckert, P. (٢٠٠٨). Variation and the indexical field. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, ١٢(٤), ٤٥٣-٤٧٦.
٦. Edwards, J. (٢٠٠٩). *Language and identity*. Cambridge University Press.
٧. Fishman, J. A. (١٩٩٩). *Handbook of language and ethnic identity*. Oxford University Press.
٨. García, O., & Wei, L. (٢٠١٤). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education*. Palgrave Macmillan.
٩. Hall, S. (١٩٩٦). Who needs identity? In S. Hall & P. du Gay (Eds.), *Questions of cultural identity* (pp. ١-١٧). Sage.
١٠. Irvine, J. T., & Gal, S. (٢٠٠٠). Language ideology and linguistic differentiation. In P. V. Kroskrity (Ed.), *Regimes of language: Ideologies, politics, and identities* (pp. ٣٥-٨٤). School of American Research Press.
١١. Kroskrity, P. V. (٢٠٠٠). *Regimes of language: Ideologies, politics, and identities*. School of American Research Press.
١٢. Milroy, J., & Milroy, L. (٢٠١٢). *Authority in language: Investigating standard English* (٤th ed.). Routledge.
١٣. Rahaman, A. (٢٠٢٥). *Identity, ideology, and capital in EFL learning: A narrative case study*. SFU Education.
١٤. Russell, S. G. (٢٠٢٤). Language, identity, and inclusion among newcomer students. *Social Sciences*, ١٢(١١), ٦٠١.
١٥. Silverstein, M. (١٩٩٩). Language structure and linguistic ideology. In P. Clyne, W. Hanks, & C. Hofbauer (Eds.), *The elements: A parasection on linguistic units and levels* (pp. ١٩٣-٢٤٧). Chicago Linguistic Society.
١٦. Woolard, K. A. (١٩٩٨). Introduction: Language ideology as a field of inquiry. In B. B. Schieffelin, K. A. Woolard, & P. V. Kroskrity (Eds.), *Language ideologies: Practice and theory* (pp. ٣-٤٧). Oxford University Press.
١٧. Popescu, C., & Pudelko, M. (٢٠٢٤). The impact of cultural identity on cultural and language bridging skills of first and second generation highly qualified migrants. *Journal of World Business*, ٥٩(٦), ١٠١٥٧١.
١٨. Kir, F. S. (٢٠٢٥). Language ideologies in new media: Grassroots resistance and identity construction in digital discourse. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*.
١٩. Zabrodskaia, A. (٢٠٢٥). Psychological perspectives on language, identity, and society. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*.
٢٠. Arllati, M. (٢٠٢٥). The impact of bilingualism on identity and social integration: Cultural aspects of language heritage preservation. *Philological S*